## **REMARKS**

The claims have been revised in several aspects. Claims 48, 63, and 76 have been amended to correct the errors noted on page 2 of the Office Action.

Claims 41, 51, 67, and 74 originally recited that the control unit was provided "with" the video and audio messages. Although the control unit does receive these messages, they can be temporarily stored in a holding site separate from the control unit. For example, as described in the specification at pages 26 and 27 and covered in Claim 57, the messages can be stored in a message queue where they are available to the control unit. To make it clear that the messages are not necessarily provided directly to the control unit, Claims 41, 51, 67, and 74 have been amended to recited that the video and audio messages have been provided "for use by the control unit". Claims 42, 43, 57, and 59 have been amended to conform to the revised version of Claim 41.

No claims have been added or canceled. Accordingly, Claims 41 - 82 remain pending.

Claims 41 - 82 have been rejected under 35 USC 112 as failing to comply with the written description requirement on the grounds that "The claim(s) contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention". This rejection is respectfully traversed.

With respect to Claims 41 and 67, the Examiner alleges that the limitation that the data packets be demultiplexed and depacketized without interrupting the control unit is not supported by the specification. This limitation has two basic components:

(a) a demultiplexing/depacketizing sublimitation and a non-interruption sublimitation.

The sublimitation that the data packets be demultiplexed and depacketized is supported at various places in the specification. On page 2 in the first paragraph of the Summary section, the specification first states that "The stream demultiplexer demultiplexes and depacketizes the raw DVD data stream, subsequently stores the video and audio data in the storage buffer and informs the CPU thereabout". The specification goes on in the last paragraph on page 2 to say more particularly that "The stream demultiplexer extracts the timing information embedded in each data pack of a data stream and, accordingly, generates

a tag containing the decode time stamp, the presentation time stamp and the storage location of each data pack stored in the buffer".

Further detail is presented in the Detailed Description in the paragraph bridging pages 7 and 8 where the specification states that "SD 26 first demultiplexes the audio, video and control components of the received DVD data" and thereafter that "SD 26 depacketizes the demultiplexed data, namely, SD 26 removes header 208, DTS 210 and PTS 212 from each packet 206 before storing the payload 214 in buffer 48". Hence, the demultiplexing/depacketizing sublimitation is supported in the specification.

The sublimitation that the demultiplexing/depacketizing be done "without" interrupting the control unit is likewise supported in the specification. In the Summary section on page 3, the specification states in the second paragraph that "in a steady state and under normal operating conditions, the CPU is interrupted only when the synchronization signal arrives [emphasis added] thereby significantly reducing the number of interrupts that the CPU must service". The specification similarly states in the Detailed Description in the paragraph bridging pages 11 and 12 that "in a steady state and under most operating conditions, CPU 54 is interrupted only when a tag is present at the occurrence of a subsequent Vsync signal [emphasis again added]; this is an advantage of the DVD/DVB decoder 20 which generates fewer interrupts than do other known systems".

The "control unit" of Claims 41 and 67 is embodied by the CPU mentioned in the material quoted from the pages 3, 11, and 12. As provided on pages 3 and 9, the video output processor (40) generates the synchronization signal (Vsync). Since the synchronization signal causes the video and audio decoders to decode the video and audio data produced from the demultiplexed and depacketized incoming data stream, the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the data packets is performed without interrupting the control unit.

The specification goes on in more detail in the paragraph bridging pages 11 and 12 to state that because interrupts are only generated during Vsync occurrences in steady state:

CPU 54 advantageously has an entire Vsync time period (i.e., the time interval between two successive Vsync signals) to service those interrupt. In particular, when a Vsync signal arrives, CPU reads FIFO 48 to determine whether SD 26 has generated any tags. If one or more tags exist, CPU performs its assigned tasks and generates corresponding TDPs. However, CPU 54 has the entire period from the reading of the tags until the arrival of

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 12 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

the next Vsync signal to complete all of its tasks including the generation of the TDPs. Hence, CPU 54 may continue to finish its ongoing tasks uninterrupted, provided, however, that CPU 54 services the requested interrupt prior to the arrival of the next Vsync signal. Therefore, decoder 20 benefits from very relaxed timing requirements. The relaxed timing requirements resulting from the availability of an entire Vsync period to CPU 54 to read the tags and to generate corresponding TDPs is a significant advantage of DVD decoder 20 over the known DVD decoders which because they require their CPU control units (e.g. CPU) to immediately--but temporarily--abandon their ongoing tasks to service the interrupts in a very short time period, impose tight and rigid system timing requirements.

Since interruptions of the control unit occur <u>only</u> at occurrences of the Vsync signal during steady-state operation and because the Vsync signal causes the video and audio decoders to decode the encoded video and audio data produced from the demultiplexed and depacketized incoming data stream, the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the data packs in the incoming data stream is done <u>without</u> interrupting the control unit.

In the preferred embodiment described in the specification, the video messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer and which also deal with the video timing information are provided by stream demultiplexer 26 to message queue 106 where those video messages are available to CPU 54. The audio messages which identify where the encoded audio data is stored in the audio input buffer and which also deal with the audio timing information are, in the preferred embodiment, similarly provided by stream demultiplexer 26 to message queue 106 where those audio messages are available to CPU 54. This (temporary) storage site for the video and audio messages is described in pages 26 and 27 of the specification.

On page 27, the specification describes how the use of message queue 106 enables stream demultiplexer 26 to avoid interrupting CPU 54. The specification particularly states in the second paragraph on page 27 that:

Accordingly, SD 26 performs time/space multiplexing that allows CPU 54 to function in a batch mode rather than generating interrupts to CPU 54 each time a significant piece of information is identified by SD 26. For example, message queue 106 as used by SD 26 represents an alternative approach to having SD 26 generate interrupts to CPU 54 each time SD 26 identifies significant information (the interrupt approach). The interrupt approach is inefficient, because every time an interrupt is generated for CPU 54, CPU 54 spends a few cycles processing the interrupt. Thus, SD 26 advantageously uses message queue 106 to implement a hardware-based messaging system (hardware-based tagging mechanism).

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 13 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

The quoted material from page 27 of the specification shows how stream demultiplexer 26 can perform its tasks, i.e., demultiplexing and depacketizing data packets of the incoming data stream, without interrupting CPU 54 and further supports the limitation of Claims 41 and 67 that the demultiplexing and depacketizing of data packs be performed without interrupting the recited control unit.

For the preceding reasons, the limitation of Claims 41 and 67 that the demultiplexing and depacketizing of data packs be done without interrupting the recited control unit is supported in the specification.

The Examiner also seems to allege that the terms "video message" (or "video messages") and "audio message" (or "audio messages") in Claims 41 - 43, 51, 52, 57, 59, 67 - 69, 74, and 75 are not supported by the specification.

Claims 41 and 67, as amended, each specify that "video messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer and which also deal with the video timing information" are generated for use by the control unit. Claim 48, not cited by the Examiner in the 35 USC 112 lack-of-support rejection, provides that "the video messages comprise tags which contain the timing information and the addresses of storage locations for the encoded video data in the video input buffer" where the expression "and the video input buffer" has been corrected here to "in the video input buffer". In the paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10, the specification provides that stream demultiplexer 26 "generates a tag which contains the DTS", i.e., the decode time stamp of a video PES data packet 206, "and the address of the stored data in FIFO 48". This tag implements each tag of Claim 48 and thus each video message of Claim 41 or 67. Accordingly, the video messages of Claims 41 - 43, 57, 59, and 67 - 69 are supported in the specification.

Claims 51 and 74, as amended, each provide that "audio messages which deal with the audio timing information" are generated for use by the control unit. Claims 52 and 75 each further provide that "the audio messages also indicate where the encoded audio data is stored in the audio input buffer".

In the last paragraph on page 2, the specification states that the stream demultiplexer "generates a tag containing the decode time stamp, the presentation time stamp and the storage location of each data pack stored in the buffer". The tag recited on specification page 2 contains either audio information or video information. Insofar as the tag contains audio

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 14 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

information, the tag implements each message of Claim 51 or 74. The specification later provides in the last paragraph on page 14 that stream demultiplexer 26 "generates a tag informing CPU 54 of the location of the stored audio frame in the buffer". The tag recited in the last paragraph on page 14 of the specification implements each audio message of Claim 52 or 75 insofar as the message indicates where the encoded audio data is stored in the audio input buffer. Consequently, the audio messages of Claims 51, 52, 74, and 75 are supported in the specification.

The specification provides in the second paragraph on page 26 that "in one embodiment, SD 26 generates a message that audio or video data is stored in buffer 48 of Fig. 1 and ready for decoding even prior to storing all the audio or video data, respectively, in buffer 48". Aside from the recitation of stream-demultiplexer-generated messages in the just-quoted specification material, the specification itself does not appear to specifically define stream-demultiplexer-generated tags as "messages". However, originally filed device Claim 1 recited that the stream demultiplexer generates "messages about the stored data and their location in the data buffer" and that the control unit receives the so-generated messages. Originally filed method Claim 24 similarly recited "generating messages about the stored data bytes to a control unit".

Originally filed device Claim 3 and method Claim 26 further particularized the "messages" respectively recited in Claims 1 and 24. Claim 3 recited that "the messages generated by the stream demultiplexer about the audio and the video components of a DVD or DVB data byte are recorded on tags containing information about the time stamp of the data and their storage location in the data buffer". Claim 26 recited that "the act of generating messages about the stored data bytes to a control unit comprises generating tags containing information about the time stamps of the data and their storage location in the data buffer". From the way that the "messages" are described in Claims 1, 3, 24, and 26, it is clear that the "messages" recited in Claims 41 - 43, 51, 52, 57, 59, 67 - 69, 74, and 75 correspond to the "messages" recited in Claims 1, 3, 24, and 26. Since the originally filed claims are part of the original disclosure of the present application, the term "message" or "messages" as used in Claims 41 - 43, 51, 52, 59, 67 - 69, 74, and 75 in describing information supplied by the stream demultiplexer and made available to the control unit is supported in the original disclosure.

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 15 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

To the extent that the previously mentioned "tags" should be expressly described as "messages", i.e., "audio messages" and "video messages", in the specification, this matter has been addressed by simply (a) inserting "video message" or "video messages" into the specification at appropriate points as an alternative expression for "tag" or "tags" containing the indicated video information and (b) inserting "audio message" or "audio messages" into the specification at appropriate points as an alternative expression for "tag" or "tags" containing the indicated audio information. The specification has thus been brought into conformity with the original claims so as to support the term "message" or "messages" employed in the present claims.

For the preceding reasons, the 35 USC 112 lack-of-support rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 41 - 82 have been rejected under 35 USC 112 as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. The Examiner alleges that the term "video messages" in Claims 41 and 67 and the term "audio messages" in Claims 51, 52, 74, and 75 are indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The specification has, as indicated above, been brought into conformity with the original claims by incorporating the term "message" or "messages" from the original claims into the specification at appropriate points as an alternative expression for the term "tag" or "tags" in the same sense that "message" or "messages" was employed in the original claims<sup>1</sup>.

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 16 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner alleges that "The term 'video messages' in claims 41 and 67 are used by the claim to mean 'tags which contain the timing and addresses of storage location for encoded video data in the video buffer' while the accepted meaning is 'video picture.'". Referring to the language employed in Claim 48, the "tags which contain the timing information and the addresses of storage locations for the encoded video data in the video input buffer" are not data that contains the substantive details of the picture being presented but instead are data that deals with where the substantive picture details are located and when the substantive picture data is to be decoded and presented. If the Examiner is attempting to say that "video picture" is the accepted term for "tags which contain the timing information and the addresses of storage locations for the encoded video data in the video input buffer" and if this 35 USC 112 indefiniteness rejection is continued, Applicants' Attorney requests the Examiner to provide Applicants' Attorney with material that supports the Examiner's allegation.

The Examiner further alleges on page 3 of the Office Action that "The term 'audio messages' in claims 51-52 an [sic, and] 74-75 are used by the claim to meet 'audio timing information and the location of encoded audio data in the audio buffer', while the accepted meaning is 'audio data'". The "audio timing information and the location of the encoded audio data in the audio buffer" is not data that contains the substantive details of the sound being presented but instead is data that deals with where the substantive sound data is located and when the substantive sound data is to be decoded and presented. If the Examiner is attempting to say that "audio data is the accepted term for "audio timing information and the location of encoded audio data in the audio buffer"

The claims employ the terms "video" and "audio" as modifiers for "message" or "messages" solely to distinguish the "messages" dealing with video information from the "messages" dealing with audio information. Consequently, the terms "video" and "audio" as modifiers for "message" or "messages" are appropriate and reasonable. Since the terms "video messages" and "audio messages" are now appropriately used and thus defined in the specification, the 35 USC 112 indefiniteness rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 41, 48 - 52, 55 - 58, 62 - 67, 74, 75, 78, 81, and 82 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious based on Okada et al. ("Okada"), U.S. Patent No. 5,668,601, in view of Maturi et al. ("Maturi"), U.S. Patent No. 5,559,999. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Okada discloses an audio/video decoding system having parser 4, audio decoder 2, and video decoder 3. Parser 4, including internal demultiplexer 5, separates (demultiplexes and depacketizes) an incoming audio/video data stream into an audio data stream, an audio presentation time stamp, a video data stream, a video presentation time stamp, and a system clock reference. The audio presentation time stamp and the audio data stream are respectively sequentially stored in first-in-first-out ("FIFO") register 11 and FIFO bit buffer 12, both of which are components of audio decoder 2. The video presentation time stamp and the video data stream are respectively sequentially stored in FIFO register 21 and FIFO bit buffer 22, both of which are components of video decoder 3. The system clock reference goes to controllers 14 and 24 of respective decoders 2 and 3.

Bit buffers 12 and 22 automatically sequentially provide the audio and video data streams respectively to decoder core circuits 13 and 23 of decoders 2 and 3 for decoding and presentation in synchronism at times determined respectively by controllers 14 and 24. Audio controller 14 determines when audio decode core circuit 13 decodes the current audio data of the audio data stream as a function of the system clock reference, the audio presentation time stamp, and the various transmission-delay/processing times in audio decoder 2. Video controller 24 similarly determines when video decode core circuit 23 decodes the current video data of the video data stream as a function of the system clock reference, the video presentation time stamp, and the various transmission-delay/processing times in video decoder 3. The decoding and presentation of the video data is synchronized to

and if this rejection is continued, Applicants' Attorney requests the Examiner to provide Applicants' Attorney with material supporting the Examiner's further allegation.

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 17 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

the decoding and presentation of the audio data by way of the system clock reference supplied to controllers 14 and 24.

Maturi discloses an interrupt-based system for decoding an incoming audio/video data stream. Pre-parser 22 parses (demultiplexes and depacketizes) the audio/video data stream, stores the audio and video headers respectively in audio and video buffers 20c and 20a, and stores the audio and video data respectively in audio and video channel buffers 20d and 20b. In storing the audio and video headers in header buffers 20c and 20a, pre-parser 22 interrupts host microcontroller 18 and provides the audio and video headers with respective tags that identify the starting channel-buffer addresses of the audio and video data. In response to the interrupt, microcontroller 18 extracts the presentation time stamps from preparser 22 and stores the presentation time stamps in memory 18a. Audio and video decoders 28 and 26 later respectively decode the audio and video data under the synchronism control of microcontroller 18.

Independent Claims 41 and 67, as amended, respectively recite:

- 41. A decoder system comprising:
- a control unit;
- a data buffer comprising a video input buffer and an audio input buffer;
- a stream demultiplexer for receiving an incoming data stream comprising data packets each comprising at least one of (i) encoded video data and a video header that contains video timing information for the encoded video data and (ii) encoded audio data and an audio header that contains audio timing information for the encoded audio data, the stream demultiplexer operating
- (a) to demultiplex and depacketize the data packets without interrupting the control unit,
- (b) to send the encoded video data to the video input buffer for storage there without the video timing information,
- (c) to provide, for use by the control unit, video messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer and which also deal with the video timing information, and
- (d) to send the encoded audio data to the audio input buffer for storage there;

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 18 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

a video decoder that decodes the encoded video data to produce decoded video data utilizing video instructions provided from the control unit as to where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer; and

an audio decoder that decodes the encoded audio data to produce decoded audio data.

## 67. A method comprising:

receiving an incoming data stream comprising data packets each comprising at least one of (i) encoded video data and a video header that contains video timing information for the encoded video data and (ii) encoded audio data and an audio header that contains audio timing information for the encoded audio data:

demultiplexing and depacketizing the data packs without interrupting a control unit;

storing the encoded video data in a video input buffer without the video timing information;

providing, for use by the control unit, video messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer and which also deal with the video timing information;

decoding the encoded video data to produce decoded video data using video instructions provided from the control unit as to where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer;

storing the encoded audio data in an audio input buffer; and decoding the encoded audio data to produce decoded audio data.

Importantly, Claims 41 and 67 each require that there be generated, for use by the control unit, video messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer. In Claim 41, the stream demultiplexer generates the video messages. Because video bit buffer 22 in Okada is a FIFO, Okada does <u>not</u> generate video messages akin to the video messages of Claims 41 and 67. This is acknowledged in the Office Action by the statement on page 5 that "Okada fails to disclose a step of providing [video messages which] identify where the encoded video data is stored in the video input buffer and audio messages which identify the location of encoded audio data in the audio buffer and PTS and system clock and timer for maintaining local time".

The Examiner attempts to combine Maturi and Okada in an effort to make up for the fact that Okada does not generate, for use by a control unit such as video controller 24, video

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 19 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

messages which identify where the encoded video data is stored in bit buffer 22. After stating later on page 5 of the Office Action that "Maturi discloses a method and apparatus for transferring the tags to the control unit which contain PTS and location of address buffer for video and audio data (Fig 4); system clock and timer for maintaining local current time (Fig 3, Ref 40) and message queue (Fig 3, Ref 18a)", the Examiner alleges that "Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method of providing a control unit with tags that includes timing and location of the encoded audio and video data as disclosed by Maturi into Okada's system" and that "The motivation would have been to synchronize the video and audio data".

The Examiner's rationale for combining Okada and Maturi is illogical. Synchronism of Okada's audio and video data, i.e., synchronism of the times at which the audio and video data are decoded by decode core circuits 13 and 23 for presentation is <u>already</u> achieved by controllers 14 and 24 in response to the system clock reference based on the audio and video presentation time stamps. There is <u>no</u> need for using an additional technique, such as that disclosed in Maturi, for synchronizing Okada's audio and video data. Consequently, there is <u>no</u> motivation for applying Maturi to Okada in the manner, and for the reasons, proposed by the Examiner.

Modifying Okada's decoding system to include both Okada's current technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data and Maturi's technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data would simply increase the amount of circuitry, and associated cost, without providing a significant (if any) improvement in performance. In fact, compatibility problems could arise from the presence of two systems for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio/video data, e.g., when the decoding/presentation times determined by one of the synchronization systems differs from the decoding/presentation times determined by the other synchronization system. A person skilled in the art would not modify Okada's decoding system to include both techniques for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data.

As pointed out above, host microcontroller 18 in Maturi is interrupted during the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the incoming audio/video data stream to retrieve the video timing information, namely the video presentation time stamps, from pre-parser 22. Claims 41 and 67 each require that the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the data packs be

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 20 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

done without interrupting the control unit. Even if there was some motivation for modifying Okada's decoder to include Maturi's technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data as a replacement for Okada's current technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data, the utilization of Maturi's synchronization technique would require that the control unit in the so-modified decoding system be interrupted during the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the incoming audio/video data stream to obtain the video timing information present in the incoming data stream. Accordingly, the so-modified decoding system would not meet the limitation of Claim 41 or 67 that the demultiplexing and depacketizing of the data packs be done without interrupting the control unit.

In short, there is no motivation for combining Okada and Maturi in the proposed manner. Modifying Okada's decoding system to include system to include both Okada's current technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data and Maturi's technique for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data leads to a <u>non-useful</u> result. Replacing Okada's current system for synchronizing the decoding and the presentation of the audio and video data with Maturi's system for synchronizing the decoding and presentation of the audio and video data would, even if some motivation could be found to do so, <u>not</u> result in a system that meets all the limitations of Claim 41 or 67. Hence, Claims 41 and 67 are patentable over Okada and Maturi.

Claims 48 - 52, 55 - 58, and 62 - 66 all depend from (directly or indirectly) from Claim 41. Claims 74, 75, 78, 81, and 82 all depend (directly or indirectly) from Claim 67. Hence, Claims 48 - 52, 55 - 58, 62 - 66, 74, 75, 78, 81, and 82 are patentable over Okada and Maturi for the same reasons as Claims 41 and 67.

Claims 53, 54, 76, and 77 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious based on Okada and Maturi in view of Nuber et al. ("Nuber"), U.S. Patent No. 5,703,877. Claim 61 has been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious based on Okada and Maturi in view of Terashima et al. ("Terashima"), U.S. Patent No. 6,163,647. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 53, 54, and 61 each depend (directly or indirectly) from Claim 41 rejected as obvious based on Okada and Maturi. Claims 76 and 77 each depend (directly or indirectly) from Claim 67 likewise rejected as obvious based on Okada and Maturi. For the reasons

2003-12-10 Amend.doc - 21 - Appl'n. No.: 09/301,438

presented above, Claims 41 and 67 are not obvious based on Okada and Maturi. Nothing in Nuber or/and Terashima would justify combining Okada and Maturi so as to make Claim 41 or 67 obvious. Claims 53, 54, 61, 76, and 77 are thus variously patentable over Okada, Maturi, and Nuber or Terashima for the same reasons that Claims 41 and 67 are patentable over Okada and Maturi.

None of Claims 42 - 47, 59, 60, 68 - 73, 79, and 80 has been rejected on prior art grounds. Inasmuch as the 35 USC 112 rejections have been shown to be inappropriate, Claims 42 - 47, 59, 60, 68 - 73, 79, and 80 are allowable.

To summarize, the 35 USC 112 lack-of-support and indefiniteness rejection should be withdrawn. Claims 41, 48 - 58, 61 - 67, 74 - 78, 81, and 82 have been shown to be patentable over the applied art. Accordingly, Claims 41 - 82 should be allowed so that the application may advance to issue.

Please telephone Attorney for Applicant(s) at 650-964-9767 if there are any questions.

**EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.:** 

EV 337 116 265 US

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald J. Meetin

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Konald ). Meetin

Reg. No. 29,089

210 Central Avenue Mountain View, CA 94043-4869